

Ethics Discussion 1 Rubric



Criteria	Ratings			Pts
<p>Quality of Original Discussion Post</p>	<p>30.0 pts Full Marks</p> <p>The original discussion post clearly follows the outlined and analytical steps of: a. identifying and describing the chosen social justice field/issue, b. describing how it relates to CJ, c. identifying and describing examples of how the two areas intersect, and d. providing two sources</p>	<p>15.0 pts Half marks</p> <p>The original discussion post provided the two (2) sources, but did not complete one of the other three prescribed outline and analytical steps</p>	<p>0.0 pts No marks</p> <p>The original discussion post did not provide the two (2) required sources or did not complete two or more of the other three prescribed outline and analytical steps.</p>	<p>30.0 pts</p>
<p>Quality of Responses to Others' Original Postings</p>	<p>20.0 pts Full Marks</p> <p>Responds in a meaningful way to at least two other classmates' original postings. Stimulates discussions through well-thought out responses, each of which includes a thought-provoking question.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Half marks</p> <p>Responds in a meaningful way to only one other classmate's original posting. Stimulates discussion through well-thought out response which includes a thought-provoking question.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No Marks</p> <p>Does not respond to any other classmate's original posting. OR At least one response(s) is superficial, not well-thought out or stimulating. Nor is a thought-provoking question posed in both responses. OR Only poses a question as a response. Uses general platitudes.</p>	<p>20.0 pts</p>
<p>Total Points: 50.0</p>				